



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/564,564

06/14/2006

Fujihiko Tomita

90606.87/ok

2014

54071

7590

12/22/2008

YAMAHA HATSUDOKI KABUSHIKI KAISHA

C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP

1800 Alexander Bell Drive

SUITE 200

Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER

HENKEL, DANIELLE B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/22/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM

uspto@kbiplaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,564	Applicant(s) TOMITA ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIELLE HENKEL	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIELLE HENKEL. (3) JILL WARDEN.

(2) STEVE FUNK. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 17-27,30 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: YOSHISHIGE (JP 06-153902) and TOSHI (JP- 2000-139444).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claimed invention in reference to primary and secondary prior art. Attorney stated applicant's view that the claimed container and support allowed for equal volume in all areas as a proposed advantage over primary reference. Attorney agreed to amend claims accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DANIELLE HENKEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797	/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797
--	---